Exhibit 1

CONFIDENTIAL Lisbeth Romer - June 3, 2021

Page 1

		rage	
	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT	
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
ln)) re		
0F (S		MASTER DOCKET B-MD-2865 (LAK)	
	is document relates) : All cases)		
	CONFIDENTIAL		
	VIDEO DEPOSITI	ON OF	
LISBETH ROMER Copenhagen, Denmark			
	Thursday, June 3 10:00 a.m. (0	3, 2021	
	10.00 a.m. (0	,	
	Taken at:		
Offices of Poul Schmith Kammeradvokaten, Kalvebod Brygge 32,			
	1560 Copenhagen V, And WebEx via New	Denmark	
	AND WEDEX VIA NEW	IOIN	
_	ported by: FREDERICK WEISS, CSR,	CM	
₽⊳		OIII	

CONFIDENTIAL Lisbeth Romer - June 3, 2021

Page 14

10:09:18	1	of DC.	
10:09:18	2	At this time, will the reporter,	
10:09:20	3	Frederick Weiss, on behalf of Gregory Edwards LLC,	
10:09:24	4	please swear in the interpreter and the witness.	
	5	WHEREUPON	
	6	KIRSTEN FOLLIN, INTERPRETER	
	7	Affirmed that she would translate, to the best of	
	8	her knowledge and ability from the English	
	9	language to the Danish language and from the	
	10	Danish language to the English language.	
	11		
10:08:50	12	LISBETH ROMER,	
10:08:50	13	Called as a Witness herein by counsel for	
10:08:50	14	Defendant Azalea, et al., having been first duly	
10:08:50	15	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	
10:10:11	16	EXAMINATION	
10:10:11	17	BY MS. MCCARTHY:	
10:10:12	18	Q. Good morning, Ms. Romer.	
10:10:14	19	A. Good morning.	
10:10:14	20	Q. My name is Sharon McCarthy and I	
10:10:17	21	will be asking you questions on behalf of the	
10:10:19	22	defendants in this litigation today.	
10:10:29	23	You understand English, correct?	
10:10:32	24	A. Yes.	
10:10:32	25	Q. Are you comfortable answering my	

CONFIDENTIAL Lisbeth Romer - June 3, 2021

Page 221

04:57:58	1	Q. In your view, was the Accounts II	
04:58:04	2	team a hard-working team?	
04:58:05	3	A. Yes.	
04:58:06	4	Q. Okay. Did you believe that the	
04:58:07	5	team that you had working in Accounts II was	
04:58:12	6	competent at their jobs?	
04:58:13	7	A. Yeah, at what they did. But maybe	
04:58:19	8	limited to do what they did.	
04:58:21	9	Q. Okay. Was Sven Nielsen a hard	
04:58:28	10	working employee?	
04:58:29	11	A. Yes.	
04:58:29	12	Q. And did you believe him to be a	
04:58:32	13	competent employee?	
04:58:33	14	A. Very competent.	
04:58:34	15	Q. Okay. As the leader of that team,	
04:58:37	16	if you had believed that a reclaim application	
04:58:40	17	that was received was fraudulent, would you have	
04:58:42	18	looked the other way and allowed the claim to be	
04:58:45	19	processed?	
04:58:46	20	A. Never.	
04:58:47	21	Q. As the leader of that team over the	
04:58:49	22	years, do you believe that any of the employees on	
04:58:52	23	the team would have processed reclaims that he or	
04:58:56	24	she believed were fraudulent?	
04:58:58	25	A. Until the opposite was proven, I	
	L		